

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVE		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/893,048	06/26/2001	Harold Kutz	CYPR-CD00231	4180	
7590 07/27/2005			EXAMINER		
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			WOO, STELLA L		
Two North Man San Jose, CA	rket Street, Third Floor 95113		ART UNIT PAPER		
Jan 2005, 2007 70000			2643		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/893,	048	KUTZ ET AL.	AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Stella L.		2643				
Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this commu Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHOP THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the period of the period - If NO period of the period of th	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ons of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 riod for reply is specified above, the maximum so o reply within the set or extended period for reply y received by the Office later than three months batent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of munication. 30) days, a reply within the sitatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be time tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day, will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•					
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>11 January 20</u>	<u>005</u> .					
2a)□ Ti								
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims		•					
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are object to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	n Papers	•	•					
10)⊠ Th A <sub>l</sub> Ro	ne specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 26 June 200 oplicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected the	<u>01</u> is/are: a)⊠ accept ection to the drawing(s g the correction is requ	) be held in abeyance. See uired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 Cl	• •			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) <u>□</u> 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority  Copies of the certified copies  application from the Internation  the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority docuronal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)	<i>,</i> I		•					
1) Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) Informat	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or o(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		)-152)			

Art Unit: 2643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Malcolm, Jr. et al. (US 6,373,954, hereinafter "Malcolm").

Regarding claims 1-2, Malcolm discloses a microcontroller chip (a single chip audio system 100; Figure 1A), comprising:

a processor (microcontroller 103);

an array of configurable circuit blocks configured to perform a circuit function (circuit blocks on chip 100 are configured to output analog audio signals (col. 1, lines 40-58), and

an on-chip analog amplifier (elements labeled as "GAIN" which output analog signals from output mixers 115a and 115b to left and right external speakers; see Figure 1A; col. 12, lines 23-25).

Regarding claim 8, Malcolm provides for analog circuit blocks (elements which output to A/D converters 111 and elements which output from D/A converters 110) and digital circuit blocks (elements which output to D/A converters 110 and elements which output from A/D converters 111).

Art Unit: 2643

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-6, 11-15, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malcolm in view of Hirose et al. (US 5,900,780, hereinafter "Hirose").

Malcolm differs from claims 3-7, 11-15, 18 in that it does not specify a switchable current source or a tristate CMOS analog amplifier. However, Hirose teaches the advantages of using a tristate CMOS operational amplifier including the low power consumption, small distortion in output waveform, and high output drive capacity (col. 1, lines 12-30) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate such use of a CMOS amplifier, as taught by Hirose, within the signal chip audio system of Malcolm for providing a low-power, low-distortion, high output analog amplifier function.

Regarding claims 3, 13, and 18, Hirose provides current being selectively supplied from current sources I1, I2, I3, I4, I5 and I6 (Figures 2 and 5).

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malcolm in view of Billings (US 5,248,843).

Malcolm differs from claim 7 in that it does not specify that the external speaker is a 32-ohm speaker. However, Billings teaches the well known use of a 32 ohm speaker which is controlled by an sound chip (col. 6, lines 1-8) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan

Art Unit: 2643

of ordinary skill to incorporate select a 32 ohm speaker, as taught by, as the speaker which is driven by the amplifier of Malcolm

6. Claim 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malcolm in view of Weber et al. (US 6,850,117, hereinafter "Weber").

Malcolm differs from claims 9-10 in that it does not specify a wirebond pad. However, Weber teaches the desirability of providing output connections on a chip via bond pads (bond pads 110 and 112 at Figure 5C) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate such use of bond pads, as taught by Weber, within the chip system of Malcolm for providing output connections to the loudspeaker.

- 7. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Malcolm and Hirose, as applied to claim11 above, and further in view of Billings for the same reasons applied to claim 7 above.
- 8. Claims 17, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Malcolm and Hirose, as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Weber for the same reason applied to claims 9-10 above.

#### Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella L. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-7512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday, Thursday-Friday.

Art Unit: 2643

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stella L. Woo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2643